1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney DAVID W. SPENCER Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
7		
8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:21-CR-211-DAD
	,	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
13	v.	ORDER
14	ARMANDO CHRISTOPHER TABAREZ,	DATE: November 7, 2023 TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Dale A. Drozd
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on November 7, 2023. In its order	
21	setting this hearing date, the Court stated: "No further continuances of the status conference in this case	
22	will be granted absent a compelling showing of good cause." ECF No. 73.	
23	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until January	
24	16, 2024, for status conference (likely change of plea hearing), and to exclude time between November	
25	7, 2023, and January 16, 2024, under Local Code T4. Defendant asks the Court to find "a compelling	
26	showing of good cause" based on the fact that one of defendant's counsel is unavailable for the next	
27	approximately two months due to a medical issue. January 16, 2024 is the next available date that works	

28 for all parties.

3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:

- a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case includes more than 400 pages of investigative reports, photographs, and other documents and materials. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.
- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review the discovery, conduct factual investigation, review the charges and potential defenses and resolutions, confer with their client, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes defendant will change his plea at the next status conference. However, both counsel for defendant need to be able to meet with defendant and to attend court with him to advise him regarding his anticipated change of plea. One of defendant's counsel, who is essential to these meetings and to appear in court with the defendant, is unavailable for court for the next approximately two months due to a medical issue. In the event defendant decides not to plead guilty at the next court date, the time counsel needs to meet with defendant prior to his anticipated change of plea will also be necessary for counsel's trial preparation.
- d) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of November 7, 2023 to January 16, 2024NEW DATE, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's

Case 2:21-cr-00211-DAD Document 75 Filed 11/02/23 Page 3 of 4

request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action 1 2 outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the 3 4 Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial 5 must commence. IT IS SO STIPULATED. 6 7 Dated: November 1, 2023 PHILLIP A. TALBERT 8 **United States Attorney** 9 /s/ DAVID W. SPENCER 10 DAVID W. SPENCER **Assistant United States Attorney** 11 12 Dated: November 1, 2023 /s/ Megan Virga 13 Megan Virga **Ronald Peters** 14 Counsel for Defendant ARMANDO CHRISTOPHER 15 **TABAREZ** 16 **ORDER** 17 Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties and based upon the compelling showing of good cause 18 for the requested continuance, the status conference/possible change of plea hearing previously 19 scheduled for November 7, 2023 is vacated and rescheduled for January 16, 2024 at 9:30 a.m. and time 20 is excluded from November 7, 2023, through January 16, 2024 under Local Code T4. However, while 21 certainly understanding of the situation surrounding counsel's unavailability at this time, if that situation 22 has not resolved by January 16, 2024, the court is inclined to proceed on that date with the scheduled 23 status conference. 24 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 Dated: **November 1, 2023** 27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 28

Case 2:21-cr-00211-DAD Document 75 Filed 11/02/23 Page 4 of 4